Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/941,229	MELAMPY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	AREZOO SHERKAT	2131
All Participants:	Status of Application: 71	
(1) <u>AREZOO SHERKAT</u> .	(3)	
(2) Ms. Karen G. Hazzah.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 August 2008	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 45.50-59, and 61-62 Prior art documents discussed: US Patent Application No. 6,771,597 To Makansi et al. Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: To overcome an outstanding 101 issue in the language of claim 52 and amending independent claims 45,52,and 59 to overcome the disclosure of Makansi. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant or provide a separate record of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview and the necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)